



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/777,889   | 02/07/2001  | Joseph M. Cannon       | Cannon 112-102      | 3320             |
| 46900 7590 03/10/2011<br>MENDELSON, DRUCKER, & ASSOCIATES, P.C.<br>1500 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUITE 405<br>PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102 |             |                        |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER<br>NGUYEN, KHAI MINH  |             |                        |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT<br>2617   |             | PAPER NUMBER           |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE<br>03/10/2011  |             | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

09/777,889

**Applicant(s)**

CANNON ET AL.

**Examiner**

KHAI M. NGUYEN

**Art Unit**

2617

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-14, 19-22, 28, 29 and 44-58 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,9,10,12,13,19-22,28,29,44-45,47-50,52-55,57 and 58 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8,11,14,46,51, and 56 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 5-14, 19-22, 28-29, and 44-58 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 5-7, 9-10, 12-13, 19-22, 28-29, 44-45, 47-50, 52-55, and 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramaswamy et al. (U.S.Pat-6628768) in view of Tsukada et al. (U.S.Pat-4640987).

Regarding claim 1, Ramaswamy teaches a method of answering an incoming call at a cordless telephone having a base unit and a plurality of handsets, each of said base unit and a plurality of handsets being at a different location, the method comprising the steps of:

answering, by a first party, the incoming call at one of said plurality of cordless handsets (fig.1: unit 30 corresponding base unit, handset 20, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22);

after the incoming call is answered and while the incoming call is active (fig.1: unit 30 corresponding base unit, handset 20, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22), initiating an intercom connection between handsets, by an intercom initiating party, to alert an intercom receiving party (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22), the intercom

connection permitting voice communication between the intercom initiating party and the intercom receiving party (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22);

automatically placing said a coming call in a hold status (call waiting) if either said intercom initiating party or said intercom receiving party is also said first party (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22); and

accepting said incoming call at another one of said plurality of handset (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22), by said intercom receiving party, by terminating the hold status (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Ramaswamy fails to specifically disclose cordless handset.

However, Tsukada teaches cordless handset (fig.1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply the teaching of Tsukada to Ramaswamy to a cordless telephone having a transfer capability between units and easy to carry.

Regarding claim 2, Tsukada further teaches accepting said incoming call (col.11, lines 37-50), by said first party, by terminating the hold status (col.11, lines 37-50).

Regarding claim 5, Ramaswamy teaches a method of answering all incoming call at a cordless telephone with a base unit and first handset and a second handset, said base unit and said first handset and second handset being at separate locations, the method comprising the steps of:

a first party answering the incoming call at a first unit of the cordless telephone (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22);

the first party alerting a second party (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22), by initiating an intercom connection between said first unit and said second unit, while the incoming call is automatically placed in a hold status (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22). The intercom connection permitting voice communication between the first party and the second party (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22); and

the second party accepting the incoming call at the second unit by terminating the hold status (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Ramaswamy fails to specifically disclose cordless handset.

However, Tsukada teaches cordless handset (fig.1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply the teaching of Tsukada to Ramaswamy to a cordless telephone having a transfer capability between units and easy to carry.

Regarding claim 6, Ramaswamy teaches a telephone system comprising:

a base station including first control circuitry for controlling operations at said base station (fig.1: unit 30); and

at least two cordless telephone handsets for communicating with said base station (fig.1: handsets 20), each including second control circuitry for controlling operations at said handset (fig.1);

said first and second control circuitry operating in response to initiation of an intercom communication at a first of said telephone handsets to place an active call at first telephone handset on hold during said intercom communication (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22), the intercom communication permitting voice

communication between at least two of said telephone handsets (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Ramaswamy fails to specifically disclose cordless handset.

However, Tsukada teaches cordless handset (fig.1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply the teaching of Tsukada to Ramaswamy to a cordless telephone having a transfer capability between units and easy to carry.

Regarding claim 7, Ramaswamy teaches wherein said first control circuitry causes said active call to be placed on hold when said intercom communication is initiated during said active call and initiates said intercom communication between cordless telephone handsets (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Regarding claim 9, Ramaswamy teaches a telephone system comprising:  
a base station including first control circuitry for controlling operations at said base station (fig.1: unit 30); and

at least a first and second telephone handset (fig.1: handsets 20) for communicating with said base station (fig.1: unit 30) including second and third control circuitry for controlling operations at said first and second telephone handset respectively (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22);

said first, second, and third control circuitry operating in response to initiation of an intercom communication at one of said first and second telephone handsets to place an active call on hold during said intercom communication (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45

to col.7, line 22), the intercom communication permitting voice communication between at least two of said telephone handsets (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Ramaswamy fails to specifically disclose cordless handset.

However, Tsukada teaches cordless handset (fig.1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply the teaching of Tsukada to Ramaswamy to a cordless telephone having a transfer capability between units and easy to carry.

Regarding claim 10, Ramaswamy and Tsukada further teach first control circuitry causes said active call to be placed on hold (see Tsukada, fig.5-7, col.12, lines 3-47) when said intercom communication is initiated during said active call and initiates said intercom communication between at least two of said base station and said handsets (see Tsukada, fig.5-7, col.12, lines 3-47, col.17, lines 13-33, see Ramaswamy, fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Regarding claim 12, Ramaswamy teaches a telephone system comprising:

a base station including first control circuitry for controlling operations at said base station (fig.1: unit 30), said plurality of telephone handsets (fig.1: handsets 20) comprising at least first and second telephone handsets for communicating with said base station and including second and third control circuitry for controlling operations at said first and second cordless telephone handsets (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22), respectively, and

said first, second, and third control circuitry operating in response to initiation of an intercom communication at one of said first and second telephone handsets to place an active call on hold during said intercom communication (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22), the intercom communication permitting voice communication between at least two of said telephone handsets (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Ramaswamy fails to specifically disclose a base station including first control circuitry for controlling operations at said base station and separate intercom buttons for a cordless telephone unit, said a cordless telephone unit comprising cordless telephone unit for communicating with said base station and including second control circuitry for controlling operations at said first unit, respectively and a separate intercom button for said base station and each other of said units.

However, Tsukada teaches a base station including first control circuitry for controlling operations at said base station (fig.4, col.6, lines 13-35) and separate intercom buttons for a cordless telephone unit (fig.5-7, intercom key 153), said a cordless telephone unit comprising cordless telephone unit for communicating with said base station (fig.3-4, col.6, lines 13 to col.7, line 47) and including second control circuitry for controlling operations at said first unit (fig.3-4, col.6, lines 13 to col.7, line 47), respectively and a separate intercom button for said base station and each other of said units (fig.5-7, intercom key 153, col.8, lines 58-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply the teaching of Tsukada to Ramaswamy to a cordless telephone having a transfer capability between units and easy to carry.



Regarding claim 13, Ramaswamy teaches first control circuitry causes said active call to be placed on hold when said intercom communication is initiated during said active call and initiates said intercom communication between at least two of said cordless telephone handsets (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Regarding claim 19, Tsukada further teaches step of initiating an intercom connection comprises activating an intercom initiator (fig.5-7, intercom key 153, 253, col.8, lines 58-67).

Regarding claim 20, Tsukada further teaches step of alerting further comprises sending an intercom connection request signal (fig.5-7, intercom key 153, 253, col.8, lines 58-67).

Regarding claim 21, Tsukada further teaches terminating said step of initiating by sending an end intercom signal (fig.5-7, intercom key 153, 253, col.8, lines 58-67).

Regarding claim 22, Tsukada further teaches wherein said step of sending an end intercom signal further comprises activating an intercom control (fig.5-7, intercom key 153, 253, col.8, lines 58-67).

Regarding claim 28, Ramaswamy and Tsukada further teach wherein said step of alerting a second party further comprises sending an intercom request signal from said first handset to said second handset (see Tsukada, fig.5-7, intercom key 153, 253, col.6, lines 13-35, col.8, lines 58-67, col.17, lines 13-33, see Ramaswamy, fig.1 and 5: handsets 5 and 5', col.9, lines 26-36).

Regarding claim 29, Ramaswamy and Tsukada further teach terminating said step of initiating an intercom connection between said first handset and said second

handset by activating an intercom control on said first handset (see Tsukada, fig.5-7, intercom key 153, 253, col.6, lines 13-35, col.8, lines 58-67, col.17, lines 13-33, see Ramaswamy, fig.1 and 5: handsets 5 and 5', col.9, lines 26-36).

Regarding claim 44, Ramaswamy teaches a method of communicating between handsets in a multi-device telephone system, wherein:

the system comprise a base station and a handset (fig.1); and

the system is adapted to permit voice communication (i) between at least two of the wireless handsets (conference) and (ii) between at least two of the handsets and an external telephone via a telephone network (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22),

the method comprising:

(a) making a first connection for voice communication between a first handset of the system and the external telephone (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22);  
and

(b) placing the first connection on hold while attempting to make a second connection for voice communication between the first handset and a second handset of the system (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Ramaswamy fails to specifically disclose wireless handset.

However, Tsukada teaches wireless handset (fig.1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply the teaching of Tsukada to Ramaswamy to a cordless telephone having a transfer capability between units and easy to carry.

Regarding claim 45, Tsukada further teaches (c) making the second connection (fig.5-7, col.12, lines 3-59, *permitting three way conversation*).

Regarding claim 47, Tsukada further teaches (c) breaking the second connection (fig.5-7, col.12, lines 3-59); and (d) taking the first connection off hold (fig.5-7, col.12, line 3 to col.13, line 66, col.17, lines 13-33).

Regarding claim 48, Tsukada further teaches providing an audible signal to at least one of the wireless handsets to indicate that the second connection is made (fig.5-7, col.12, line 3 to col.13, line 66, col.17, lines 13-33).

Regarding claim 49, Ramaswamy teaches a multi-device telephone system comprising:

a base station and at least two handsets (fig.1); wherein the system is adapted to:

(a) permit voice communication (i) between any two of the devices and (ii) between one of the handsets and (ii) between one of the handset and external telephone via a telephone network (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22);

(b) make a first connection for voice communication between a first device of the system and the external telephone (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22); and

(c) place the first connection on hold while attempting to make a second connection for voice communication between the first device and a second device of the system (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Ramaswamy fails to specifically disclose wireless handset.

However, Tsukada teaches wireless handset (fig.1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply the teaching of Tsukada to Ramaswamy to a cordless telephone having a transfer capability between units and easy to carry.

Regarding claim 50, Tsukada further teaches the system is further adapted to:

(d) make the second connection (fig.5-7, col.12, lines 3-59, *permitting three way conversation*).

Regarding claim 52, Tsukada further teaches the system is further adapted to:

(d) break the second connection (fig.5-7, col.12, lines 3-59); and

(e) take the first connection off hold (fig.5-7, col.12, line 3 to col.13, line 66).

Regarding claim 53, Tsukada further teaches the system is further adapted to provide an audible signal to at least one of the handsets to indicate that the second connection is made (fig.5-7, col.12, line 3 to col.13, line 66).

Regarding claim 54, Ramaswamy teaches a base station for a multi-device telephone system comprising a plurality of devices comprising the base station and at least two handset, the base station comprising control circuitry adapted to:

(a) make a first connection for voice communication between first handset of the system and an external telephone via a telephone network (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22); and

(b) place the first connection on hold while attempting to make a second connection for voice communication between the first handset and second handset of the system (fig.1, and 4-5, col.6, line 45 to col.7, line 22).

Ramaswamy fails to specifically disclose wireless handset.

However, Tsukada teaches wireless handset (fig.1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply the teaching of Tsukada to Ramaswamy to a cordless telephone having a transfer capability between units and easy to carry.

Regarding claim 55, Tsukada further teaches the control circuitry is further adapted to:

(d) make the second connection (fig.5-7, col.12, lines 3-59, *permitting three way conversation*).

Regarding claim 57, Tsukada further teaches the control circuitry is further adapted to:

(e) break the second connections (fig.5-7, col.12, line 3 to col.13, line 66); and

(f) take the first connection off hold (fig.5-7, col.12, line 3 to col.13, line 66, col.17, lines 13-33).

Regarding claim 58, Tsukada further teaches the control circuitry is further adapted to provide an audible signal to at least one of the devices indicate that the second connection is attempted or is made (fig.5-7, col.9, lines 34-40, col.12, line 3 to col.13, line 66, col.17, lines 13-33).

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

3. Claims 8, 11, 14, 46, 51, and 56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### ***Conclusion***

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI M. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-7923. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kent Chang can be reached on 571.272.7667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AJIT PATEL/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Khai M Nguyen/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

3/4/2011